arXiv:0806.4709v1 [math.CA] 28 Jun 2008

Retarded integral inequalities of Gronwall-Bihari type

Rui A. C. Ferreira[1] and Delfim F. M. Torres[2]

Abstract. We establish two nonlinear retarded integral inequalities. Bounds on the solution of some retarded equations are then obtained.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In the recent paper [2] M. Denche and H. Khellaf study, under some conditions on the involved functions, the following two inequalities:

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + \int_a^t f(s)u(s)ds + \int_a^t f(s)W\left(\int_a^s k(s,\tau)\Phi(u(\tau))d\tau\right)ds\,, \tag{1}$$

and

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + \int_a^t f(s)g(u(s))ds + \int_a^t f(s)W\left(\int_a^s k(s,\tau)\Phi(u(\tau))d\tau\right)ds\,. \tag{2}$$

Such inequalities have been then used on general time scales, including discrete-time versions of (1) and (2) (see [3]). In the present note we generalize both inequalities (1) and (2) in a different direction, by considering more general retarded inequalities, i.e., by letting the upper limit of the integrals to be C^1 nondecreasing functions less than or equal to t (cf. (4) and (10) below). Moreover, our generalized inequalities (4) and (10) are considered under less restrictive assumptions on the involved functions, e.g., in [2] the function $\Phi(\cdot)$ is assumed to be subadditive and submultiplicative, while here we only assume submultiplicativity.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D10; 26D15.

Key words and phrases. Gronwall inequalities, integral inequalities, retarded inequalities, submultiplicative functions.

Work supported by the *Centre for Research on Optimization and Control* and by the PhD fellowship SFRH/BD/39816/2007 of the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology.

[1] Department of Mathematics, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal. E-mail: ruiacferreira@ua.pt

[2] Department of Mathematics, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal. E-mail: delfim@ua.pt

We invite the reader to compare Theorems 2.1 and 2.3 of [2] with Theorems 2.2 and 2.6 of this paper, respectively.

2. Main Results

We start by proving a useful lemma. A similar result to Lemma 2.1 was proved in [4, Theorem 1.1] with differentiability assumptions on the function $f(\cdot,\cdot)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that* $\alpha(\cdot)\in C^1([a,b],\mathbb{R})$ *is a nondecreasing function with* $a\le\alpha(t)\le t$, *for all* $t\in[a,b]$. *Assume that* $u(\cdot)$, $a(\cdot)$, $b(\cdot)\in C([a,b],\mathbb{R}_0^+)$ *and let* $(t,s)\to f(t,s)\in C([a,b]\times[a,\alpha(b)],\mathbb{R}_0^+)$ *be nondecreasing in* t *for every* s *fixed. If*

$$u(t)\le a(t)+b(t)\int_a^{\alpha(t)} f(t,s)u(s)ds,$$

then

$$u(t)\le a(t)+b(t)\int_a^{\alpha(t)}\exp\left(\int_s^{\alpha(t)} b(\tau)f(t,\tau)d\tau\right)f(t,s)a(s)ds\,.$$

Proof. The result is obvious for $t=a$. Let t_0 be an arbitrary number in $(a,b]$ and define the function $z(\cdot)$ as

$$z(t)=\int_a^{\alpha(t)} f(t_0,s)u(s)ds,\quad t\in[a,t_0].$$

Then, $u(t)\le a(t)+b(t)z(t)$ for all $t\in[a,t_0]$, and $z(\cdot)$ is nondecreasing. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}z'(t)&=f(t_0,\alpha(t))u(\alpha(t))\alpha'(t)\\&\le f(t_0,\alpha(t))[a(\alpha(t))+b(\alpha(t))z(\alpha(t))]\alpha'(t)\\&\le f(t_0,\alpha(t))[a(\alpha(t))+b(\alpha(t))z(t)]\alpha'(t)\,.\end{aligned}$$

The last inequality can be rearranged as

$$z'(t)-f(t_0,\alpha(t))b(\alpha(t))z(t)\alpha'(t)\le f(t_0,\alpha(t))a(\alpha(t))\alpha'(t). \tag{3}$$

Multiplying both sides of inequality (3) by $\exp\left(-\int_a^{\alpha(t)} b(s)f(t_0,s)ds\right)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\left[z(t)\exp\left(-\int_a^{\alpha(t)} b(s)f(t_0,s)ds\right)\right]'\\&\qquad\le\exp\left(-\int_a^{\alpha(t)} b(s)f(t_0,s)ds\right)f(t_0,\alpha(t))a(\alpha(t))\alpha'(t)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Integrating from a to t and noting that $z(a)=0$, we obtain successively that

$$\begin{aligned} z(t) &\leq \exp\left(\int_a^{\alpha(t)} b(s)f(t_0,s)ds\right) \times \int_a^t \exp\left(-\int_a^{\alpha(s)} b(\tau)f(t_0,\tau)d\tau\right) \\ &\quad \times f(t_0,\alpha(s))a(\alpha(s))\alpha'(s)ds \\ &= \int_a^t \exp\left(\int_{\alpha(s)}^{\alpha(t)} b(\tau)f(t_0,\tau)d\tau\right) f(t_0,\alpha(s))a(\alpha(s))\alpha'(s)ds \\ &= \int_a^{\alpha(t)} \exp\left(\int_s^{\alpha(t)} b(\tau)f(t_0,\tau)d\tau\right) f(t_0,s)a(s)ds\,. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u(t) \leq a(t)+b(t)z(t)$, we have for $t=t_0$ that

$$u(t_0) \leq a(t_0)+b(t_0)\int_a^{\alpha(t_0)} \exp\left(\int_s^{\alpha(t_0)} b(\tau)f(t_0,\tau)d\tau\right) f(t_0,s)a(s)ds\,.$$

The intended conclusion follows from the arbitrariness of t_0. □

We are now in conditions to prove the following result:

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that* $\alpha(\cdot)$, $\beta(\cdot) \in C^1([a,b],\mathbb{R})$ *are nondecreasing functions with* $\alpha(t)$, $\beta(t) \in [a,t]$ *for all* $t \in [a,b]$. *Assume that* $u(\cdot)$, $a(\cdot)$, $b(\cdot) \in C([a,b],\mathbb{R}_0^+)$, $(t,s) \rightarrow f(t,s) \in C([a,b]\times[a,\alpha(b)],\mathbb{R}_0^+)$ *is nondecreasing in* t *for every* s *fixed,* $g(\cdot,\cdot) \in C([a,b]\times[a,\beta(b)],\mathbb{R}_0^+)$, *and* $(s,\tau) \rightarrow k(s,\tau) \in C([a,\beta(b)]\times[a,\beta(b)],\mathbb{R}_0^+)$ *is nondecreasing in* s *for every* τ *fixed. Let* $W(\cdot)$, $\Phi(\cdot) \in C(\mathbb{R}_0^+,\mathbb{R}_0^+)$ *be nondecreasing functions,* $\Phi(\cdot)$ *submultiplicative with* $\Phi(x)>0$ *for* $x \geq 1$. *Define*

$$G(x) \doteq \int_0^x \frac{ds}{\Phi(1+W(s))}, \quad x \geq 0,$$

$$\eta(\tau) \doteq \max\left\{a(\tau), \int_a^{\beta(\tau)} g(\tau,\theta)d\theta\right\}, \quad \tau \in [a,\max\{\alpha(b),\beta(b)\}],$$

and

$$p(s) \doteq \int_a^s k(s,\tau)\Phi\left(\eta(\tau)+b(\tau)\int_a^{\alpha(\tau)} \exp\left(\int_\xi^{\alpha(\tau)} b(\theta)f(\tau,\theta)d\theta\right) f(\tau,\xi)\eta(\xi)d\xi\right) d\tau.$$

If for $t \in [a,b]$

(4)

$$u(t) \leq a(t)+b(t)\int_a^{\alpha(t)} f(t,s)u(s)ds+\int_a^{\beta(t)} g(t,s)W\left(\int_a^s k(s,\tau)\Phi(u(\tau))d\tau\right) ds,$$

then there exists $t_* \in (a, \beta(b)]$ *such that* $p(t) \in Dom(G^{-1})$ *for all* $t \in [a, t_*]$, $G^{-1}(\cdot)$ *the inverse function of* $G(\cdot)$, *and*

$$u(t) \leq q(t) + b(t) \int_a^{\alpha(t)} \exp\left(\int_s^{\alpha(t)} b(\tau) f(t,\tau) d\tau\right) f(t,s) q(s) ds,$$

where

$$q(t) = a(t) + \int_a^{\beta(t)} g(t,s) W\left(G^{-1}(p(s))\right) ds.$$

Proof. Let

$$z(t) = a(t) + \int_a^{\beta(t)} g(t,s) W\left(\int_a^s k(s,\tau)\Phi(u(\tau)) d\tau\right) ds\,, \quad t \in [a,b]\,.$$

Then, (4) can be restated as

$$u(t) \leq z(t) + b(t) \int_a^{\alpha(t)} f(t,s) u(s) ds. \tag{5}$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 to (5), we obtain

$$u(t) \leq z(t) + b(t) \int_a^{\alpha(t)} \exp\left(\int_s^{\alpha(t)} b(\tau) f(t,\tau) d\tau\right) f(t,s) z(s) ds. \tag{6}$$

In order to estimate $z(t)$, we define the function $v(\cdot)$ by

$$v(s) = \int_a^s k(s,\tau)\Phi(u(\tau)) d\tau.$$

We have that $z(x) = a(x) + \int_a^{\beta(x)} g(x,\theta) W(v(\theta)) d\theta$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
v(s) \leq & \int_a^s k(s,\tau)\Phi\left[z(\tau) + b(\tau) \int_a^{\alpha(\tau)} \exp\left(\int_\xi^{\alpha(\tau)} b(\theta) f(\tau,\theta) d\theta\right) f(\tau,\xi) z(\xi) d\xi\right] d\tau \\
\leq & \int_a^s k(s,\tau)\Phi\Bigg[\eta(\tau)(1 + W(v(\tau))) \\
& + b(\tau) \int_a^{\alpha(\tau)} \exp\left(\int_\xi^{\alpha(\tau)} b(\theta) f(\tau,\theta) d\theta\right) f(\tau,\xi) \eta(\xi) d\xi (1 + W(v(\tau)))\Bigg] d\tau \\
\leq & \int_a^s k(s,\tau)\Phi\Bigg[\eta(\tau) \\
& + b(\tau) \int_a^{\alpha(\tau)} \exp\left(\int_\xi^{\alpha(\tau)} b(\theta) f(\tau,\theta) d\theta\right) f(\tau,\xi) \eta(\xi) d\xi\Bigg] \Phi(1 + W(v(\tau))) d\tau\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $a < t_* \leq \beta(b)$ be a number such that $p(t) \in Dom(G^{-1})$ for all $t \in [a, t_*]$. Define $r(\cdot)$ on $[a, s_0]$, where $a < s_0 \leq t_*$ is an arbitrary fixed number, by

$$\begin{aligned} r(s) = \int_a^s k(s_0,\tau)\Phi\Bigg[&\eta(\tau) \\ &+ b(\tau)\int_a^{\alpha(\tau)} \exp\left(\int_\xi^{\alpha(\tau)} b(\theta)f(\tau,\theta)d\theta\right) f(\tau,\xi)\eta(\xi)d\xi\Bigg]\Phi(1+W(v(\tau)))d\tau\,. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} r'(s) &= k(s_0,s)\Phi\Bigg[\eta(s) \\ &\qquad + b(s)\int_a^{\alpha(s)} \exp\left(\int_\xi^{\alpha(s)} b(\theta)f(s,\theta)d\theta\right) f(s,\xi)\eta(\xi)d\xi\Bigg]\Phi(1+W(v(s))) \\ &\leq k(s_0,s)\Phi\Bigg[\eta(s) \\ &\qquad + b(s)\int_a^{\alpha(s)} \exp\left(\int_\xi^{\alpha(s)} b(\theta)f(s,\theta)d\theta\right) f(s,\xi)\eta(\xi)d\xi\Bigg]\Phi(1+W(r(s)))\,, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r'(s)}{\Phi(1+W(r(s)))} \leq k(s_0,s)\Phi\Bigg[&\eta(s) \\ &+ b(s)\int_a^{\alpha(s)} \exp\left(\int_\xi^{\alpha(s)} b(\theta)f(s,\theta)d\theta\right) f(s,\xi)\eta(\xi)d\xi\Bigg]\,. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating both members of the last inequality from a to s, and having in mind that $G(r(a)) = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} G(r(s)) \leq \int_a^s k(s_0,\tau)\Phi\Bigg[&\eta(\tau) \\ &+ b(\tau)\int_a^{\alpha(\tau)} \exp\left(\int_\xi^{\alpha(\tau)} b(\theta)f(\tau,\theta)d\theta\right) f(\tau,\xi)\eta(\xi)d\xi\Bigg]d\tau\,. \end{aligned}$$

The choice of t_* permits us to write $r(s_0) \leq G^{-1}(p(s_0))$. Since s_0 is arbitrary, we conclude that (the case $s = a$ is trivial)

$$r(s) \leq G^{-1}(p(s)),\ s \in [a, t_*]. \tag{7}$$

To complete the proof, we observe that for $a \leq s \leq t_*$ the inequality $\beta(\alpha(s)) \leq t_*$ holds. Hence, we can insert inequality (7) into inequality (6). $\square$

Remark 1. Theorem 2.2 is new even in the particular setting studied in [2] with $\alpha(t) = \beta(t) = t$, $b(t) = 1$, and $f(t,s) = g(t,s) = f(s)$. Indeed, one may choose in Theorem 2.2 a submultiplicative function $\Phi(\cdot)$ that is not subadditive, e.g., $\Phi(x) = x^2$ for $x \geq 0$. This choice of $\Phi(\cdot)$ is not a possibility in [2, Theorem 2.1].

To prove the forthcoming results we follow F. M. Dannan [1], introducing the following class of functions:

Definition 2.3. A function $g(\cdot) \in C(\mathbb{R}_0^+, \mathbb{R}_0^+)$ is said to belong to the class H if

(1) $x \to g(x)$ is nondecreasing for $x \geq 0$ and positive for $x > 0$;
(2) there exists a continuous function $\Psi(\cdot)$ on $\mathbb{R}_0^+$ with $g(\alpha x) \leq \Psi(\alpha) g(x)$ for $\alpha > 0$, $x \geq 0$.

Example 2.4. Every continuous and nondecreasing function $g(\cdot)$ on $\mathbb{R}_0^+$ with $g(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$ that is submultiplicative, is of class H with $\Psi = g$.

To the best of our knowledge, the following lemma is not found in the literature. Therefore, we give a proof here.

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose that $\alpha(\cdot) \in C^1([a,b],\mathbb{R})$ is a nondecreasing function with $a \leq \alpha(t) \leq t$ for all $t \in [a,b]$. Assume that $u(\cdot)$, $a(\cdot) \in C([a,b],\mathbb{R}_0^+)$ with $a(\cdot)$ a positive and nondecreasing function, and $(t,s) \to f(t,s) \in C([a,b] \times [a,\alpha(b)],\mathbb{R}_0^+)$ nondecreasing in t for every s fixed. If $g(\cdot) \in H$ and*

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + \int_a^{\alpha(t)} f(t,s) g(u(s)) ds, \tag{8}$$

then there exists a function $\Psi(\cdot)$ and a number $t_* \in (a,b]$ that depends on $\Psi(\cdot)$ such that

$$G(1) + \int_a^{\alpha(t)} f(t,s) \frac{\Psi(a(s))}{a(s)} ds \in Dom(G^{-1}), \quad t \in [a,t_*], \tag{9}$$

and

$$u(t) \leq a(t) G^{-1}\left(G(1) + \int_a^{\alpha(t)} f(t,s) \frac{\Psi(a(s))}{a(s)} ds\right), \quad t \in [a,t_*],$$

where

$$G(x) = \int_{x_0}^{x} \frac{ds}{g(s)}, \quad x > 0,\ x_0 > 0,$$

and, as usual, $G^{-1}(\cdot)$ represents the inverse function of $G(\cdot)$.

Proof. Since $a(\cdot)$ is positive and nondecreasing and $g(\cdot) \in H$, we obtain from (8) that

$$\frac{u(t)}{a(t)} \leq 1 + \int_a^{\alpha(t)} \frac{f(t,s)g(u(s))}{a(s)} ds \leq 1 + \int_a^{\alpha(t)} f(t,s)\frac{\Psi(a(s))}{a(s)} g\left(\frac{u(s)}{a(s)}\right) ds$$

for some function $\Psi(\cdot)$ as in the Definition 2.3. Let us now choose a number $a < t_* \leq b$ such that (9) holds, and define function $z(\cdot)$ by

$$z(t) = 1 + \int_a^{\alpha(t)} f(t_0,s)\frac{\Psi(a(s))}{a(s)} g\left(\frac{u(s)}{a(s)}\right) ds, \quad t \in [a,t_0],$$

where $t_0 \in (a,t_*]$ is an arbitrary fixed number. Then, with $x(t) = u(t)/a(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} z'(t) &= f(t_0,\alpha(t))\frac{\Psi(a(\alpha(t)))}{a(\alpha(t))} g\left(x(\alpha(t))\right)\alpha'(t) \\ &\leq f(t_0,\alpha(t))\frac{\Psi(a(\alpha(t)))}{a(\alpha(t))}\alpha'(t)g(z(t)), \end{aligned}$$

because $x(t) \leq z(t)$ and $z(t)$ is nondecreasing. Since $z(t)$ is positive, we can divide both sides of the last inequality by $g(z(t))$ and, after integrating both sides on $[a,t]$, we get

$$G(z(t)) \leq G(1) + \int_a^{\alpha(t)} f(t_0,s)\frac{\Psi(a(s))}{a(s)} ds\,.$$

Hence,

$$z(t_0) \leq G^{-1}\left(G(1) + \int_a^{\alpha(t_0)} f(t_0,s)\frac{\Psi(a(s))}{a(s)} ds\right).$$

Since $x(t_0) = u(t_0)/a(t_0) \leq z(t_0)$ and t_0 is arbitrary, the result follows for all $t \in (a,t_*]$. The case when $t = a$ is obvious. ☐

Theorem 2.6. *Let functions $u(\cdot)$, $f(\cdot)$, $g(\cdot)$, $W(\cdot)$, $\Phi(\cdot)$, $\alpha(\cdot)$, $\beta(\cdot)$, $p(\cdot)$, and $G(\cdot)$ be as in Theorem 2.2, and $a(\cdot)$ be as in Lemma 2.5. If $h(\cdot) \in H$,*

$$\mathcal{H}(x) \doteq \int_{x_0}^{x} \frac{ds}{h(s)}, \quad x > 0,\ x_0 > 0\,,$$

and

(10)

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + \int_a^{\alpha(t)} f(t,s)h(u(s))ds + \int_a^{\beta(t)} g(t,s)W\left(\int_a^s k(s,\tau)\Phi(u(\tau))d\tau\right) ds\,,$$

then there exists a function $\Psi(\cdot)$ and a number $t'_* \in (a,\beta(b)]$ depending on $\Psi(\cdot)$ such that, for all $t \in [a,t'_*]$,

$$\mathcal{H}(1) + \int_a^{\alpha(t)} f(t,s)\frac{\Psi(a(s))}{a(s)} ds \in Dom(\mathcal{H}^{-1})\,,$$

$$p(t) \in Dom(G^{-1}),$$

and

$$u(t) \leq \left[a(t) + \int_a^{\beta(t)} g(t,s) W\left(G^{-1}(p(s))\right) ds\right] q(t),$$

where

$$q(t) = \mathcal{H}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{H}(1) + \int_a^{\alpha(t)} f(t,s)\frac{\Psi(a(s))}{a(s)} ds\right).$$

Proof. Define function $z(\cdot)$ by

$$z(t) = a(t) + \int_a^{\beta(t)} g(t,s) W\left(\int_a^s k(s,\tau)\Phi(u(\tau))d\tau\right) ds, \quad t \in [a,b].$$

Clearly $z(\cdot)$ is a positive and nondecreasing function. Hence, we can apply Lemma 2.5 to the inequality

$$u(t) \leq z(t) + \int_a^{\alpha(t)} f(t,s) h(u(s)) ds,$$

to obtain

$$u(t) \leq z(t)\mathcal{H}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{H}(1) + \int_a^{\alpha(t)} f(t,s)\frac{\Psi(a(s))}{a(s)} ds\right), \quad t \in [a, t_*],$$

for some function $\Psi(\cdot)$ and some number $t_* \in (a,b]$. An estimation of $z(t)$ can be obtained following the same procedure as in the proof of Theorem 2.2. After that, we obtain

$$z(t) \leq a(t) + \int_a^{\beta(t)} g(t,s) W\left(G^{-1}(p(s))\right) ds, \quad t \in [a, t'_*],$$

where $G(\cdot)$ and $p(\cdot)$ are defined as in Theorem 2.2. □

3. An Application

Let us consider the following retarded equation:

$$u(t) = k + \int_0^{\alpha(t)} F\left(s, u(s), \int_0^s K(\tau, u(\tau))d\tau\right) ds, \quad t \in [a,b], \tag{11}$$

where $k \geq 0$, $b > 0$, $\alpha(\cdot) \in C^1([a,b],\mathbb{R})$ is a nondecreasing function with $0 \leq \alpha(t) \leq t$, $u(\cdot) \in C([0,b],\mathbb{R})$, $F(\cdot) \in C([0,b]\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ and $K(\cdot) \in C([0,b]\times\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$. The following theorem gives a bound on the solution of equation (11).

Theorem 3.7. *Assume that functions $F(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot)$ and $K(\cdot,\cdot)$ in (11) satisfy*

$$|K(t,u)| \leq k(t)\Phi(|u|)\,, \tag{12}$$

$$|F(t,u,v)| \leq t|u| + |v|\,, \tag{13}$$

with $k(\cdot)$ and $\Phi(\cdot)$ defined as in Theorem 2.2. If $u(\cdot)$ is a solution of (11), then

$$|u(t)| \leq q(t) + t\int_0^{\alpha(t)} \exp\left(t(\alpha(t)-s)\right) q(s)ds, \quad t \in [a,t_*],$$

for some $t_* \in (a, \alpha(b)]$ such that

$$p(t) \in Dom(G^{-1}), \quad t \in [a,t_*].$$

Here,

$$q(t) = k + \int_0^{\alpha(t)} G^{-1}(p(s))ds,$$

$$G(x) = \int_0^x \frac{ds}{\Phi(1+s)}, \quad x \geq 0,$$

$$p(s) = \int_a^s k(\tau)\Phi\left[\eta(\tau) + \tau\int_0^{\alpha(\tau)} \exp\left(\tau(\alpha(\tau)-\xi)\right)\eta(\xi)d\xi\right]d\tau,$$

$$\eta(\tau) = \max\{k, \alpha(\tau)\}\,, \quad \tau \in [0,\alpha(b)],$$

with $G^{-1}(\cdot)$ representing the inverse function of $G(\cdot)$.

Proof. Let $u(\cdot)$ be a solution of equation (11). In view of (12) and (13), we get

$$|u(t)| \leq k + \int_0^{\alpha(t)} \left(t|u(s)| + \int_0^s k(\tau)\Phi(|u(\tau)|)d\tau\right) ds\,.$$

An application of Theorem 2.2 with $a(t) = k$, $\alpha(t) = \beta(t)$, $f(t,s) = t$, $b(t) = g(t,s) = 1$, and $W(u) = u$, gives the desired conclusion:

$$|u(t)| \leq q(t) + t\int_0^{\alpha(t)} \exp\left(t(\alpha(t)-s)\right) q(s)ds.$$

□

REFERENCES

1. F. M. Dannan, Integral inequalities of Gronwall-Bellman-Bihari type and asymptotic behavior of certain second order nonlinear differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **108** (1985), no. 1, 151–164.
2. M. Denche and H. Khellaf, Integral inequalities similar to Gronwall inequality, Electron. J. Differential Equations **2007**, No. 176, 14 pp. (electronic, `http://ejde.math.txstate.edu/Volumes/2007/176/abstr.html`).
3. R. A. C. Ferreira and D. F. M. Torres, Generalizations of Gronwall-Bihari inequalities on time scales, J. Difference Equ. Appl., in press. DOI: 10.1080/10236190802213276
4. O. Lipovan, Integral inequalities for retarded Volterra equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **322** (2006), no. 1, 349–358.